**Proceedings of ASME Turbo Expo 2016: Turbine Technical Conference and Exposition, June 13-17, 2016, Seoul, South Korea**

### **Paper GT2016-56349**

# **A WATER LUBRICATED HYBRID THRUST BEARING: MEASUREMENTS AND PREDICTIONS OF STATIC LOAD PERFORMANCE**

### **Luis San Andrés**

**Mast-Childs Chair Professor**

**Stephen Phillips Research Engineer**

**Dara Childs L.T. Jordan Chair Professor**



**TURBOMACHINERY LABORATORY TEXAS A&M ENGINEERING EXPERIMENT STATION** 

**Texas A&M University College Station, TX 77843-3123** 

#### **Supported by USRL Upper Stage Technology Program**

# **Hybrid bearings for cryogenic turbopumps**



**Low cost primary power cryogenic turbo-pumps (TP) are compact, operate at high speeds, and require of externally pressurized fluid film bearings to support radial and thrust loads.**

**Hybrid thrust & radial bearings enable smaller and lighter turbopumps with no DN life limitations**



Large stiffness (accuracy of positioning) and damping force coefficients allow for unshrouded impellers with increased TP efficiency

# **Hybrid Bearings: Model Validation**

## **Radial hybrid bearings: Tool XLHYDROJET®**

- **Tests at TAMU (1992-1996) with water (1000 psi (70 bar) max, 25 krpm max).**
- **\* +20 bearings x 3 clearances & 2 pocket depths, different pocket shapes, macro-roughness (surface textured) bearings, angled injection.**
- **Gas Honeycomb seals**
- **Water Lomakin Bearings (Snecma-SEP, 2000-2002)**
- **Oil tilting and flexure pivot journal bearings (TRC, 2002 – 2015)**

## **Thrust hybrid bearings: Tool XLHYDROTHRUST®**

**Until 2008: NONE available for high speed, high pressure (turbulent flow) bearings**

**Concerns: centrifugal and advection fluid inertia cause severe fluid starvation in bearing**

**USET program OBJECTIVE**

### **USET: Upper Stage Technology Program (AFRL)**

# **Objective USET (Upper Stage Technology Program)**

**To verify that predictive methods and tools used to design and manufacture cryogenic turbopumps are valid and accurate**.

# **Tasks (Outline)**

- **Design & construction of thrust bearing test rig.**
- **Operation and troubleshooting of test apparatus.**
- **Measurements of axial clearance, load, pocket pressures, and flow rates in a water hybrid thrust bearing.**
- **Prediction of performance from tool XLHYDROTHRUST and comparisons to test data.**

# **Description of test rig**





**load shaft & test thrust bearing Radial bearings**

**Rotor &**

## **Test Rig Features**

Test Fluid: **WATER**

#### **0-25 krpm**,

(3.4 to 17 bar) 50-250 psi supply pressure, Range of static + dynamic axial load: 1000 lbf, frequency range: 0-600 Hz



## **Hybrid Thrust Bearing Rig – Cross Section**



## **Hybrid Thrust Bearing Test Rig – Exploded View**



#### **Schematic representation of test rig: thrust and radial bearings as mechanical elements with stiffness and damping coeffs.**



### **Radial Support: Flexure Pivot Tilting Pad Hybrid Bearings**



**Material: 330 Bearing Bronze** Wire-EDM manufacturing **Modeled using XLHYDROJET**





## **Test Rotor: shaft & thrust collar disks**



**Slave Bearing Thrust Disk**

> **Materials Shaft:** 304 SS **Disks:**718 Inconel **Slave Thrust Disk:** Width: 0.75 inch OD: 4.20 inch **Test Thrust Disk**: Width: 0.50 inch OD: 4.00 inch

# **Thrust Hybrid Bearings: Test & Slave**



# **Thrust bearings**

#### **Material 660 Bearing bronze**

Inner diameter: 1.60 inch Outer diameter: 3.00 inch Axial clearance 0.5-5.5 mil

#### **EIGHT (8) Pockets**:



Axial injection at r=1.08 inch

Orifice discharge coefficients determined empirically from test data (~0.60)



## **Slave Thrust Bearing Housing : Assembly**



# **TEST THRUST BEARING and load support**



# **Gas Bearings Support Axial Load Shaft**





#### **Gas Bearings:**

Diameter: 1.00 inch Radial clearance: 0.50 mil Length: 0.625 inch 2 rows x 6 orifices (60 deg apart) Orifice size: 0.010 inch **660 Bronze Bearing**

# **Test Rig Operation**



## **STATIC LOAD TESTS**

Thrust and radial bearings lubricated with water at 91F (~32 C)

- Open water lines and SET supply pressure into radial bearings (100 psig)
- Supply pressure to gas bearings supporting axial load arm (100 psig)
- **SET supply pressure into thrust bearings:** 50 psi (3.4 bar)  $\rightarrow$  250 psig (17.2 bar)

• SET rotor speed (max 25 krpm): **7.5, 10.5, 17.5 krpm**

Circ. flow Reynolds number  $Re_c = \frac{1}{2}$  ( $vW c_0 D_{OD}$ ) = 18,040 to 4,370. Radial flow Reynolds number Re<sub>r</sub>= $\nu$  Q<sub>OD</sub>/( $\pi D_{OD}$ ) = 1,145

- **Shaker applies axial load** increasing & decreasing
- **MEASURE** rotor axial displacements (clearances) at test & slave bearings, **RECORD** flow rates (in & out), pocket pressures, supply and discharge P&T's

## **Loading action and thrust face misalignment**



**Chronic thrust bearing face misalignment minimized with careful alignment of load shaft support with shims. Measurements fully assess clearance variations with load.** 

## **TB clearance** *(c)* **and tilt angles (**d**)**

#### **Axial clearance measured at three angular locations**  $→$  **estimate center clearance and tilts (rotations).**



### **TB clearances vs. axial load - 0 rpm**



## **TB clearance vs. axial load - 0 rpm**

#### **Water at 93<sup>o</sup>F (34<sup>o</sup>C) and supply pressure at 3.42, 10.34 & 17.2 bar (250 psig)**



**Axial clearance decreases exponentially with load. Predictions agree well with test data**

## **TB flow rate (supply and ID) vs. axial load – 0 rpm**

#### **Water at 93<sup>o</sup>F (34<sup>o</sup>C) & supply pressure at 17.2 bar (250 psig). No shaft rotation**



**Flow rate decreases as load increases since axial clearance becomes small. ID flow is NOT 50% of supplied flow Predictions agree well with test data**

### **TB clearance vs. axial load: 7.5, 12.5 & 17.5 krpm**

#### **Water at 93<sup>o</sup>F (34<sup>o</sup>C) and supply pressure at 17.2 bar (250 psig)**



**Axial clearances is not a strong functions of rotor speed – hydrostatic effect mainly Predictions agree well with test data; best at highest load (1.6 kN)**

#### **TEST RESULTS & PREDICTIONS – high pressure**

### **TB clearance vs. axial load: 7.5, 12.5 & 17.5 krpm**

#### **Water at 93<sup>o</sup>F (34<sup>o</sup>C) and supply pressure at 3.45 bar (50 psig)**



**Tests show axial clearance is a function of rotor speed – hydrodynamic effect. Predictions agree with tests at highest load (0.46 kN)**

#### **TEST RESULTS & PREDICTIONS – low pressure**

### **Compare test and slave thrust bearings**

#### **Water at 93<sup>o</sup>F (34<sup>o</sup>C) and supply pressure at 3.45 bar (50 psig)**



**Slave TB has different orifice diameter → gives lesser clearance. Both TBs perform similarly.**

# **TB flow rates (supply and ID)**





## **TB flow rate (supply and ID) vs. load – 7.5 krpm**

#### **shaft speed = 7.5 krpm. Water supply pressure= 250 psig (17.2 bar)**



**Flow rate decreases with load and rotor speed. ID flow is less than 50% of supplied flow. Predictions match well with test data**

#### **TEST RESULTS & PREDICTIONS – high pressure**

## **TB flow rate (supply & ID) vs. load – 17.5 krpm**

**shaft speed = 17.5 krpm. Supply pressure= 50 psig (3.4 bar)**



**At low supply pressure and high rotor speed, inner side of bearing starves! ID flow << 0.5 x supply flow. Predictions agree with** 

**test data - demonstrate** 

**importance of** 

**centrifugal flow effects.**

#### **TEST RESULTS & PREDICTIONS – low pressure**

## **Recess pressure vs. axial load: 7.5, 12.5 & 17.5 krpm**

#### **Water at 93<sup>o</sup>F (34<sup>o</sup>C) and supply pressure at 17.2 bar (250 psig)**



**Pocket pressure approaches supply pressure as load increases. Predictions agree at highest load (1.6 kN)**



### **Orifice discharge coef. vs. clearance: 7.5, 12.5 & 17.5 krpm**

#### **Water at 93oF (34oC) and supply pressure at 17.2 bar (250 psig)**



**Derived fr** 

*Cd* **varies from 0.58 for small clearance (large load) to 0.60 for the largest clearance (lowest load).**  *C<sup>d</sup>* **used for prediction of bearing performance.**

$$
\text{rom test results} \quad \frac{C_d}{A_o} = \frac{Q_o}{A_o} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\rho}(P_S - P_R)}}
$$

## **TB stiffness** *K<sup>z</sup>* **vs. clearance: 7.5, 12.5 & 17.5 krpm**

#### **Water at 93<sup>o</sup>F (34<sup>o</sup>C) and supply pressure at 17.2 bar (250 psig)**



**TEST RESULTS & PREDICTIONS**

**Test static** *K* **derived from (curve fit) of load vs clearance.**

**Predictions over estimate stiffness. Worse at highest load (1.6 kN) : smallest clearance**

**Dynamic force coefficients not obtained- program lost funding.**



# **Conclusion**

**Measurements of hybrid thrust bearing static load performance obtained with water at 50 to 250 psig (3.4 to 17.2 bar) supply pressure and rotor speed to 17.5 krpm.** 

> Circ. flow Reynolds number  $\text{Re}_c = \frac{1}{2}$  ( $v \text{W}$   $c_o$   $D_{OD}$ ) = 18,040 to 4,370. Radial flow Reynolds number  $Re_{r} = v Q_{OD}/(\pi D_{OD}) = 1,145$

Chronic TB face misalignment issues minimized. Predictive tool accounts for effect. The measurements show:

• **Centrifugal flow effects due to rotation cause fluid starvation on the inner side of hybrid thrust bearing. Effect is worst at lowest pressure and highest rotor speed.** 

• **Predictive tool reproduces recorded bearing static performance, i.e. operating clearance decreasing exponentially with applied load and lubricant starvation.**

- **Research products satisfy:**
- **a) verification of hybrid thrust bearing performance,**
- **b) experimentally validation of predictive tool.**



## **Paper GT2016-56349**

## **Thanks AFRL-USET Program & Northrop Grumman Space Technology**

- **Mr. Alan Sutton (AFRL),**
- **Mr. Chuck Papesh and Mr. Gordon Dressler (Northrop Grumman)**
- **Mr. Tim Miller and Mr. Dave Lowe (Barber-Nichols Inc.)**
- **Graduate MEEN students: Mr. Michael Forsberg, Mr. Fernando Ramirez**
- **Undergraduate MEEN students: Ms. April Acosta, Mr. Scott Wilson**

# **Questions (?)**

## **Learn more at http://rotorlab.tamu.edu**

## **Backup slides**

### **Test Rig – Components Isometric View**



# **Test Rig Instrumentation**

**Rotor lateral radial motions:** 2 x 2 (X,Y) eddy current sensors:

**Rotor collar axial and tilt motions at test & slave thrust bearings** 2 x 3 (120 deg) (Z) eddy current sensors:

**Thrust Force** with strain gauge **load cell** & stinger connected to shaker. Torque mechanism not active.



**Turbine flow meters** in supply lines to water radial  $\int_{0}^{6}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  inch bearings, and **test thrust bearing INLET and OUTLET at ID**

Three (3) strain-gauge pressure sensors for measurement of **(2) pocket and (1) land pressures in test thrust bearing.**

Thermocouples: **water inlet & outlet of test thrust bearing** Pressure gauges: **supply and discharge of test thrust bearing**

Tachometer: **rotor speed**

**LABVIEW® DAQ system and control**

# **Bearing & seals: TYPES**

**The predictive tools include full fluid inertia, turbulence flow and thermohydrodynamic models for high-speed, high-pressure, hot/cold cryogenic and process fluid operating conditions. Cryogenic fluids: O2, H2, N2 (liquid or gas)**

### **HYDROJET - models**

**hydrostatic/hydrodynamic radial bearings, angled injection, roughened surfaces**

**Honeycomb seals and annular damper seals**

**tilting and flexure pivot journal bearings, simple foil bearings,** 

#### **HYDROTHRUST - models**

**hydrostatic/hydrodynamic thrust bearings Inner pressurized face seals with angular misalignment**



**2002/5: Excel® graphical user interfaces linking Hydro codes (Fortran DOS applications) to modern Windows based rotordynamics analysis software.**

## **Hybrid Bearings: Bulk Flow Models**

#### At Texas A&M Turbomachinery Laboratory: **Hydrojet® & Hydrothrust®**



## **INPUT Hydrostatic Bearings: XLHYDROTHRUST®**



# **Predictions THRUST Hybrid Bearings**



## **Learn more:**

- **Forsberg, M.,** "**Comparison Between Predictions and Experimental Measurements for an Eight Pocket Annular Hydrostatic Thrust Bearing**," **M.S. thesis, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, May 2008.**
- **Ramirez, F., "Comparison Between Predictions and Measurements of Performance Characteristics for an Eight Pocket Hybrid (Combination Hydrostatic/Hydrodynamic) Thrust Bearing," M.S. thesis, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, December 2008**

# **Funding for HB Tool development**

**Rocketdyne (1988-1991), Pratt & Whitney (1991-92), NASA GRC (1993-1996), NASA MSFC (1998/99-2001/2) Norhtop Grumman (2005-2007) - (USET Program)**

**All US turbo pump manufacturers and NASA, including SNECMA-SEP, use Hydrojet® and Hydrothrust® to model cryogenic fluid film bearings and seals. Other industries and Universities have benefited from technology.**

#### *USET Program*

**CLIN 4.2.1.3.2 (a) Non-linear forced response of fluid film bearing CLIN 4.2.1.3.2 (b) Mixed flow regime – lift off response CLIN 4.2.1.3.7 Experimental Study of Hydrostatic / Hydrodynamic Thrust Bearings**